DIA, OSD, USAF and ONI review(s) completed.

Approved For Release 2003/08/18 : CIA-RDP70Sp0225R0002000600022525011 20505 1 2 MAY 1967 Major General A. J. Beck, USAF Office of the Director of Defence Research and Engineering Meapone Systems Evaluation Croup Weshington, D. C. Dear General Beck: I have your letter of 4 May, concerning a contribution by this Agency to your study of see options in the 1975 time period. We shall be glad to provide studies of the six countries mentioned in your letter. Numbers of my staff have already met and there is mutual agreement on how to proceed. As a result of this secting, there will be some sodification of the outline attached to your letter.

25X1A Sincerely, ing ration Rufue Taylor Vice Admiral, U. S. Mavy Deputy Director Concur: 1 1 MAY 1967 R. J. Smith 25X1A Deputy Director for Intelligence W. 23 Distribution: (2)-D/ORR W/cy bosis Original and 1 - Addressee 1 - DCI 1 - DDCI 1 - Expedietry w/lacie 1. 25X1A 1 - DD/I

Approved For Release 2003/08/18 : CIA-RD#70S00\$85R000200060002-2

25X1A

196**7** 

2

Approved Release 2003/08/18 : CIA-RDP70SQ

\$5R000200<del>060002-2338</del>



## OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING

WEAPONS SYSTEMS EVALUATION GROUP
WASHINGTON, D C 20305

Log No. 122285

4 MAY 1967

Vice Admiral Rufus L. Taylor Deputy Director of Central Intelligence Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C.

Dear Admiral Taylor:

The Weapons Systems Evaluation Group is presently engaged in a study of sea options for application in certain contingencies that may arise in the 1975 time period. This study is required by the Joint Chiefs of Staff in response to a directive from the Secretary of Defense to emphasize war at sea in future planning. The WSEG study is expected to be used by the JCS in preparation of the JSOP and in responding to Draft Presidential Memorandums bearing on U.S. seapower.

As part of the assessment of the effects of U.S. exercise of sea options in certain contingencies, WSEG urgently requires economic, trade and shipping analyses on six countries, namely, United Arab Republic, Cuba, Iceland, Syria, Iraq and East Germany. The desired content of these country analyses has been discussed in detail with Mr. William N. Morell, Jr., Director, Office of Research and Reports, and a copy of an outline for the UAR analysis is attached for your information.

I have discussed with Admiral Lowrance of the Defense Intelligence Agency my intention to request this assistance directly from CIA and we are agreed that this is warranted due to the short deadline against which we are working. In order for WSEG to meet its reporting date to the JCS, the above analyses are needed by 1 August 1967.

On leave to WSEG from your Agency, is responsible for this part of the input to the WSEG study and will be available for liaison and coordination with your staff.

Acting in the absence of Admiral Masterson, I urgently request your Agency's assistance in this matter. I would welcome the opportunity to discuss this matter with you should you desire.

SIGHT

A. J. BECK Major General, USAF Senior Air Force Member

Enclosure: Outline a/s

All a A same

Approved For Release 2003/08/18: CIA-RDP70S00385R000200060002-2

25X1

# Approved F Release 2003/08/18: CIA RDR70S00 R000200060002-2 ECONOMIC STUDIES FOR DEEP BLUE PROJECT

#### PURPOSE

The purpose of these studies is to construct an estimate of the expected peacetime functioning of the economy, in the years 1975, for a selected country, in such a way that meaningful conclusions can be drawn of the probably effects of external U.S. actions against the country -- such as blockade. Primarily, the effects to be measured are against the target country itself, but they also extend to effects on the USSR and its allies and to the U.S. and its allies. Hence, while a total picture of economic activity estimated for the selected country in 1975 is necessary, the emphasis necessarily is on the externals -- the flow of exports and imports, the dependence of employment on foreign trade, normal usage of foreign exchange earnings, food self-sufficiency, etc.

It is anticipated that each country study will be brief with major reliance on tabular material presented in such a way that the basis of projections is self-evident. Hence, data for 1960 (or other conveniently available year about that time), 1965 or 1966, and 1975 would normally be presented in each table.

6701P-3
Downgroded at 12 year interrole; not automatically declarations

WORKING PAPER

1

# Approved F Release 2003/08/18 : CIA-RDP70S003 R0000200060002-2 The United Arab Republic in 1975

# I. Aggregate Measures

# a. Gross National Product (in 1965\$)

- 1. By sector of origin
- 2. By end use
- 3. Annual growth rate

# b. Population

1. Size and annual growth rate

#### c. Labor Force

1. Size, distribution by major occupational groups -agriculture, manufacturing, trade and commerce, construction, government, plus any other meaningful breakdowns in the light of study objectives.

# II. Sector Analyses

#### a. Agriculture

- Estimate of aggregate domestic food production in gross terms -- cereals, meat, etc.
- 2. Extent of dependence on ocean fishing for food supply.
- Estimate of food imports, given a normal crop year, and of fertilizers.
- 4. Probable size of food stocks.

Note: This section is designed to estimate the degree of self-sufficiency expected in 1975, and to bring out those measures of external dependence which will be essential to estimate vulnerabilities.

#### b. Manufacturing

- 1. Military end items
- 2. Producers goods
- 3. Consumers goods

Note: Under each of these sub-heads, what is needed is a brief description of probable size, employment, products, dependence on overseas sources for components, raw materials, etc., to sustain expected output. Secondly, what is wanted is an estimate of self-sufficiency for

Approved F Release 250308/1801CIA-RDF705002 Rd6002006600022 endent will the UAR's military establishment be on external sources for military equipment?

# c. Fuel and Energy

- POL production <u>vs</u> domestic requirements for crude and products, normal stocks, probably quantity exported (imported).
- 2. Coal or any other fuel that (a) looks like it would be of economic significance or (b) would enter into the foreign trade component.

# III. Economic Role of the Suez Canal

- 1. Expected volume of traffic
- 2. Employment
- 3. Foreign exchange earnings

# IV. Foreign Trade and Transport

- Exports -- principal commodities, by country of destination (1960, 1965, 1975).
- 2. Imports -- principal commodities (economic and military) by country of origin (1960, 1965, 1975). Releation
  (%) of imports to total domestic requirements, for 1975 only.
- 3. Importance of Foreign Trade as a whole -- as percent of GNP, volume and use of foreign exchange earnings, aggregate employment provided, etc.

## 4. Ocean Shipping

- 1. Our merchant fleet and charters -- percent of combined export and import tonnage handled.
- 2. Communist merchant vessels in the UAR trade -relative importance of each communist country's
  merchant fleet to total carriage, principal
  communist ports (with probably percentage
  importance).

Approved For Release 2003/08/18 : CIA-RDP70500385R000200060002-2 ree World merchant vessel

> relative importance of each major Free World country's merchant fleet to total carriage, principal Free World ports (with probable percentage importance.

in the UAR trade --

# Land Transport Alternatives

Estimated capacities of land transport routes; degree of short-run expansibility (one year); extent to which these routes could replace ocean shipping.

# Third Country Impact

- 1. On the USSR and its Allies -- To what extent would the denial of the normal imports expected to flow to the USSR in 1975 (a) curtail the output of Soviet industry, affect its military capabilities or the civilian standard of living; (b) Same for Eastern Europe Communist Countries, (c) Communist China.
- 2. On the US and its Allies -- Same as for V. 1 above.

	€ ? 		·		>	
<u>v</u> e	UNCLASSIFIED	360861				
				ENTIA		SECRE
	OFFI		TELLIGENCE A			
то	1		D ADDRESS			INITIAL
1	DDI 25X1A		100			11
2	Director of	Rese	arch & Re	epor	ts	
3						92/04
4	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	e pupar	12	ply	nts!
5	approfus	tig	reolefic	ali	ons	<u> </u>
6	ACTION		-		B.	PU
	ACTION APPROVAL		DIRECT REPLY DISPATCH		PREPARE REPLY	
	COMMENT	+	FILE		RECOMMENDATION RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE		FORMATION	<del>                                     </del>	SIGNATURE	
Rer	marks:					
i	Para. 2 of (implies that we requested. If the reply for my	are i his is signa	ready to a correct, ture.	ple plant Talinal,	ase praylog	repare
: :	Para. 2 of (implies that we requested. If the reply for my	are nhis is signa	ready to a correct, ture.  Refu Vice Admi Deputy  May	ple ple Tairal, y Dir	ase praylog	repare
: :	Para. 2 of (implies that we requested. If the reply for my	are in his is signa.	ready to a correct, ture.  Ryfu Vice Admi Deputy  May	ple ple strat pl	ase praylog	repare